

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS**

## **(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(2013) KLR VOL 7 PART 334 pp. 3333 - 3560**

**JULY 2013**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher**

**C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor**

## **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

### ***JULY CONTD.***

8. Ajayi v. Total Nig. Plc. p. 3333
9. Apata v. Olanlokun p. 3359
10. Nigerian Army v. Samuel p. 3385
11. Congress for Progressive Change v. Ombugadu p. 3401
12. Nidocco Ltd. v. Gbajabiamila p. 3479
13. Organ v. Nig. Liquefied Natural Gas Ltd. p. 3521

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2013) 7 KLR**

ACTIONS - Evidence - Evidence Act s. 83(3) - Application - The section is not applicable to Exhibit CA1 - As 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent who made same - Was performing official assignment - Without direct personal interest in the result of litigation (H5) Congress for Progressive Change v. Ombugadu p. 3401

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Findings of the two lower courts are unassailable - As appellants were unable to prove - Existence of contract of employment with respondents (H9) Organ v. Nig. Liquefied Natural Gas Ltd. p. 3521

APPEALS - Contract - Court - Findings - Correctness of - CA was right in finding that - The trial court had no evidence supported by pleadings - That the contract between the parties was voided by illegality (H5) Ajayi v. Total Nig. Plc. p. 3333

APPEALS - Court martial - CA Rules O. 4 r. 1 - Applicability - The provisions of the Order is not applicable - In appeals to CA in criminal cases from General Court Martial (H2) Nigerian Army v. Samuel p. 3385

APPEALS - Cross appeal - Filing - Respondent who seeks either the setting aside - Or the complete reversal of finding of lower court - Can only do so through a substantive cross appeal (H1) Organ v. Nig. Liquefied Natural Gas Ltd. p. 3521

APPEALS - Documentary evidence - Evaluation - Where findings of trial Judge on document are perverse - Appellate court will employ its appellate power - To correct the perversity (H7) Congress for Progressive Change v. Ombugadu p. 3401

APPEALS - Fresh evidence - Admission - Leave is granted to adduce such evidence - Where inter alia the evidence could not have with reasonable diligence - Been obtained for use at trial (H4) Congress for Progressive Change v. Ombugadu p. 3401

APPEALS - Fresh issue - Leave - Party who seeks to file and argue new issue on appeal - Must first seek and obtain leave of court - Save

where such fresh issue touches on jurisdiction (H4) *Organ v. Nig. Liquefied Natural Gas Ltd.* p. 3521

APPEALS - Ground 5 - Competence - Any issue formulated from the ground is incompetent - As the ground has been struck out - Since incompetence of issue argued together with competent issues - Affects all issue argued with it (H3) *Congress for Progressive Change v. Ombugadu* p. 3401

APPEALS - Grounds - Basis - Grounds and issues they give rise to - Must relate and challenge the decision appealed against - As they must not be formulated in vacuo (H5) *Organ v. Nig. Liquefied Natural Gas Ltd.* p. 3521

APPEALS - Grounds 1 & 2 - Validity - The learned trial Judge's pronouncement on Exhibits D1-D5 and the sum of N150,000 - Constitutes a ratio decidendi - Upon which the grounds were framed (H5) *Nidocco Ltd. v. Gbajabiamila* p. 3479

APPEALS - Issue - "Attempted substitution" - This phrase used by 1<sup>st</sup> respondent is not a relief - Rather it is definition and substance of the processes - That brought the parties to the trial Court (H8) *Congress for Progressive Change v. Ombugadu* p. 3401

APPEALS - Issues - Clarity of - Issue is short question raised against ground(s) of appeal - Which is meant to be a guide to arguments - To be advanced in support of the ground(s) (H6) *Nidocco Ltd. v. Gbajabiamila* p. 3479

APPEALS - Issues - Proliferation - Supreme Court abhors proliferation of issues - Where only a few issues would determine appeal (H1) *Apata v. Olanlokun* p. 3359

APPEALS - Leave - Necessity of - Where it is required - It is condition precedent to the exercise of right of appeal - And failure to obtain leave when needed - Renders any appeal filed incompetent - As no jurisdiction is conferred on appellate court (H3) *Organ v. Nig. Liquefied Natural Gas Ltd.* p. 3521

APPEALS - Notice of appeal - Defect in - Effect - Lack of legal personality in the signing of the notice - Is fundamental defect which cannot be cured by amendment - As it renders the proceedings void ab initio (H5) Nigerian Army v. Samuel p. 3385

APPEALS - Notice of appeal - Signing - CA Rules O. 4 r. 4(1) - Notice of appeal or notice of application for leave to appeal - Shall be signed by appellant himself (H1) Nigerian Army v. Samuel p. 3385

APPEALS - Notice of appeal - Signing - Legal personality - N.O.O. Oke & Co. being a business name - Cannot sue nor defend action in court - For not being recognized as a legal person (H3) Nigerian Army v. Samuel p. 3385

APPEALS - Reply brief - Purpose - It is filed in answer to an issue of law or fact raised in respondent's brief - But it is not used to extend scope of arguments in appellant's brief (H1) Nidocco Ltd. v. Gbajabiamila p. 3479

APPEALS - Right of appeal - Ground of law - 1999 Constitution s. 241(a)(b) - Where ground involves questions of law alone - Appeal would be as of right - But other appeals shall be by leave of either trial or appellate court (H2) Organ v. Nig. Liquefied Natural Gas Ltd. p. 3521

CONTRACTS - Declaratory reliefs - Proof - Appellants must establish their entitlement to the reliefs - Upon the strength of their own case - And not on the weakness of respondents' case (H8) Organ v. Nig. Liquefied Natural Gas Ltd. p. 3521

CONTRACTS - Employment contract - Proof - Appellants who alleged wrongful termination of their employment - Must not only prove existence of such contract - But also the terms of the contract that was breached (H7) Organ v. Nig. Liquefied Natural Gas Ltd. p. 3521

CONTRACTS - Illegal contract - Effect - Once a transaction is illegal - It is void and all things emanating from that transaction - Is a nullity

(H2) Ajayi v. Total Nig. Plc. p. 3333

CONTRACTS - Illegal contract - Meaning - Contract is said to be illegal - If the consideration involves illegality - Or the intention of parties is illegal - Or contrary to public policy (H3) Ajayi v. Total Nig. Plc. p. 3333

CONTRACTS - Termination - Correctness of - As there is no illegality in the contract between the parties - CA rightly allowed respondent's appeal - By granting it relief in affirming the termination of the contract (H4) Ajayi v. Total Nig. Plc. p. 3333

COURT PROCESSES - Abuse - Concept - This means that process of court has not been used properly - But process not filed in court - Cannot constitute abuse of process (H6) Congress for Progressive Change v. Ombugadu p. 3401

COURTS - Actions - Illegality - Need to note - Court must take cognizance of illegality it finds in the course of a matter - Even if such is not triable in the court - But in another tribunal (H1) Ajayi v. Total Nig. Plc. p. 3333

ELECTIONS - Declaration of winner - Electoral Act 2010 s. 141 - The section implies that before a person is returned as elected by tribunal or court - That person must have fully participated in all stages of the election (H2) Congress for Progressive Change v. Ombugadu p. 3401

JURISDICTION - Elections - Pre election - Electoral Act s. 87(9) & Lado's case - Are not applicable to this case - As 2<sup>nd</sup> appellant is not aspirant - And his complaint is not founded on nomination (H1) Congress for Progressive Change v. Ombugadu p. 3401

LAND LAW - Title - Possession - Respondents have established title as shown in exhibits P1 & P2 - As a successful defence of a previous land case - Is in itself an act of possession - In ascertaining title (H3) Apata v. Olanlokun p. 3359

vi **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2013) 7 KLR**

LAND LAW - Title - Res judicata - Application - Estoppel per rem judicatam is applicable here - As the parties, land and subject matter in exhibits P1 & P2 are the same (H2) *Apata v. Olanlokun* p. 3359

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Court processes - Signing - By LPA ss. 2(1) & 24 - Only a person registered in Supreme Court - Whose name is on the roll - Is recognized as legal practitioner - Entitled to sign or file processes in courts (H4) *Nigerian Army v. Samuel* p. 3401

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Submission of - Weight - Counsel's submission no matter how brilliant - Is not a substitute for credible evidence (H6) *Ajayi v. Total Nig. Plc.* p. 3333

MASTER & SERVANT - Termination - Conditions - Employer although can fire - But must adhere to the conditions of service - Before employee is fired - Otherwise he can be held liable for unlawful termination (H6) *Organ v. Nig. Liquefied Natural Gas Ltd.* p. 3521

ORDERS OF COURT - Validity of - Order made by court of competent jurisdiction is valid - Until it is declared void by court of competent jurisdiction - And party whose interest is affected - Must seek for such declaration (H2) *Nidocco Ltd. v. Gbajabiamila* p. 3479

PROPERTY LAW - Sale of property - Validity - As Exhibit D1-D5 was abandoned - And respondent having breached terms of the Sheraton Deed of Assignment - There is no valid sale of the property (H4) *Nidocco Ltd. v. Gbajabiamila* p. 3479

SUPREME COURT - Fresh issue - Leave - Without leave being sought and obtained - The court is not competent to pronounce on issue - Not raised in Court of Appeal (H3) *Nidocco Ltd. v. Gbajabiamila* p. 3479

## **INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES**

CAMA Cap C20 LFN 2004, s. 63(1)(3) Nidocco Ltd. v. Gbajabiamila p. 3479

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s. 6(6)(a) Organ v. Nig. Liquefied Natural Gas Ltd. p. 3521, ss. 6(1)(2), 251(1)(r) Congress for Progressive Change v. Ombugadu p. 3401

Court of Appeal Act Cap. 75 LFN 1990, s. 3 Nigerian Army v. Samuel p. 3385

Court of Appeal Rules 2002, O. r. 19(4), O. 4 rr. 1, 3(1), 4(1) Nigerian Army v. Samuel p. 3385, O. 4 r. 2 Congress for Progressive Change v. Ombugadu p. 3401

Electoral Act 2010 (as amended), ss. 31(1)(3), 33, 35, 87(4)(c)(i)(ii), 87(9), 118, 141 Congress for Progressive Change v. Ombugadu p. 3401

Evidence Act Cap 112 LFN 1990, s. 151 Ajayi v. Total Nig. Plc. p. 3333, s. 132 Nidocco Ltd. v. Gbajabiamila p. 3479, s. 150(2) Organ v. Nig. Liquefied Natural Gas Ltd. p. 3521, ss. 83(3), 91(3) Congress for Progressive Change v. Ombugadu p. 3401

Labour Act 2004, s. 91 Organ v. Nig. Liquefied Natural Gas Ltd. p. 3521

Land Instrument Registration Law of Lagos State, s. 15 Nidocco Ltd. v. Gbajabiamila p. 3479

Land Use Act, s. 26 Nidocco Ltd. v. Gbajabiamila p. 3479

Legal Practitioners Act, ss. 2, 24 Nigerian Army v. Samuel p. 3385

Police Act, s. 18(IV) Organ v. Nig. Liquefied Natural Gas Ltd. p. 3521

**viii INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES IN (2013) 7 KLR**

Supreme Court Act Cap 15 LFN 2004, s. 22 *Organ v. Nig. Liquefied Natural Gas Ltd.* p. 3521

Supreme Court Rules 1985 O. 8 r. 8(i), O. 2 & 7 rr. 3(1), 5(1) *Nidocco Ltd. v. Gbajabiamila* p. 3479